

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,536	08/03/2005	Hartmut Grund	263107US0PCT	9701	
22850 7590 08/07/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE S	TREET	JACOBSON, MICHELE LYNN			
ALEXANDRI	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/07/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/518.536 GRUND ET AL Notice of Abandonment Examiner Art Unit

	MICHELE JACOBSON	1794					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	dress				
This application is abandoned in view of:							
. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 28 November 2008. (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on							
(b) 🔲 A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.							
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).							
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).							
(d) No reply has been received.							
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).							
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).							
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.							
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$							
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.						
. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).							
 (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tra	nsmission dated), which is				
(b) \(\sum \) No corrected drawings have been received.							
. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	attorney or agent of record, the ass	signee of the entire i	nterest, or all of				
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 							
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim 		se the period for see	king court review				
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:							
Applicant's representative, Mr. Koschmieder, confirmation been submitted.	ned in a telephone conversation	on 7/31/09 that no	o response had				
/Rena L. Dye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794	/M. J./ Examiner, Art Unit 1794						

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)